

Mr. Maurice Niles, SR. /#5073383

Name and Prisoner/Booking Number

SACRAMENTO COUNTY JAIL

Place of Confinement

651 I STREET

Mailing Address

SACRAMENTO, CALIF, 95814

City, State, Zip Code

(Failure to notify the Court of your change of address may result in dismissal of this action.)

FILED

JUN 03 2021

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY CR

DEPUTY CLERK

Conspiracy Plot Against
MR. MAURICE NILES, SR. THE POET
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Mr. Maurice Niles, SR.)
(Full Name of Plaintiff) Plaintiff,)
[42 USC § 1986] [28 USC 1915(g)] [IMMIMENT DANGER])
v. THE ^{ST 24} Bid Bond, And)
) Cong Globe, 42d Cong 1st Sess
(1) SCHUBERT ANNIE MARIE)
(Full Name of Defendant))
789 (1871)...)
(2) ANANDA SANCHEZ)
(3) Facility Alexander Luke)
(4) Alexander C. Asterlin)
Defendant(s).)
CASE NO. 2:21-cv-0989-AC (PC))
(To be supplied by the Clerk)

**CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT
BY A PRISONER**

- Original Complaint
 First Amended Complaint
 Second Amended Complaint

Check if there are additional Defendants and attach page 1-A listing them.

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to:

28 U.S.C. § 1343(a); 42 U.S.C. § 1983

28 U.S.C. § 1331; Bivens v. Six Unknown Federal Narcotics Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971).

Other: (28 USC § 1338(b)) (28 USC § 49,591-599) (42 USC § 1986) (28 USC § 1915(g))
THE INDEPENDENT COUNSEL PROVISIONS OF THE ETHICS IN GOVERNMENT
ACT OF 1978.

2. Institution/city where violation occurred:

SACRAMENTO, GOVERNMENT AGENT(S).
of THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ALL CONSPIRED
AGAINST MR. NILES TO INTENTIONALLY VIOLATE
HIS PROTECTED CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS
1 (IMMIMENT DANGER) 28 USC § 1915(g)

Revised 3/15/2016

I was handling my business in the court's before I was snatched
BY STATE GOVERNMENT AGENT(S)...

SACRAMENTO, STATE GOVERNMENT, CONSPIRACY
RACKETEER FRAUD AGENTS ARE ALL
GUILTY

(5). MAXWELL, SERGEANT SHERIFF DEPUTY #185 defendant mentioned herein at all times is being sued in an individual capacity or in his official capacity.

(6). NOBLE, LT. SHERIFF DEPUTY #26 defendant mentioned herein at all times is being sued in an individual capacity or in his official capacity.

(7). FISHER, LT. SHERIFF DEPUTY #106 defendant mentioned herein at all time is being sued in an individual capacity or in his official capacity.

(8). MCCLARY, DEPUTY SHERIFF #731 defendant mentioned herein at all time is being sued in an individual capacity or in his official capacity.

(9). ETTER JEREMIAH. SACRAMENTO FRAUD Public defender defendant mentioned herein at all time is being sued in an individual capacity.

(10). EUGENE P. RUEDER, MD Psychiatrist, license # PSY 7806 AVBURG, CALIFORNIA. defendant mentioned herein at all time is being sued in an individual capacity.

(1) SCHUBERT ANNE MARIE, PRINCIPAL DISTRICT ATTORNEY of SAC. defendant mentioned herein at all time is being sued in an individual capacity or in her official capacity.

(2). ANDREA SANCHEZ, DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY of SAC. defendant mentioned herein at all time is being sued in an individual capacity or in her official capacity.

(3). LUKE, SHERIFF DEPUTY, FACILITY JAIL COMMANDER, SAC. defendant mentioned herein at all time is being sued in an individual capacity or in his official capacity.

(4). ALEXANDER C. ASTERLIN, SACRAMENTO FRAUD Public defender. defendant mentioned herein at all time is being sued in an individual capacity.

ALSO SEE (GREEN V. DAVIES, 182 N.Y. 499, 75 N.E. 536, 3 ANN. CAS. 310) < 1943 U.S. APP. LEXIS 97 >

"In OLIVER WENDELL HOLMES' FAMOUS ESSAY, "

"PRIVILEGE, MALICE AND INTENT," SMIRK. L. REV. I.

"INTERFERENCE WITH BUSINESS RELATIONS."

SEE OPERA ON TOUR, INC. V. WEBER, 285 NY 348, 34 N.Y. 2d 349, 126 A.R. 267...

AMERICAN GUILD OF MUSICAL ARTISTS V. PETRILLO, 186 NY 276, 231, 36 N.E. 2d 123

("I-A")

B. DEFENDANTS

1. Name of first Defendant: SCHUBERT ANNE MARIE. The first Defendant is employed as: Principal District Attorney at SACRAMENTO, D.A OFFICE/FIRM (Position and Title) (Institution)
2. Name of second Defendant: ANANDA SANCHEZ. The second Defendant is employed as: Deputy District Attorney at SACRAMENTO, D.A OFFICE/FIRM (Position and Title) (Institution)
3. Name of third Defendant: LKE. The third Defendant is employed as: Sheriff jail facility commander at SACRAMENTO COUNTY JAIL (Position and Title) (Institution)
4. Name of fourth Defendant: ALEXANDER C. ASTERLIN. The fourth Defendant is employed as: FRAUD Public defender at SACRAMENTO, PD OFFICE/FIRM (Position and Title) (Institution)

If you name more than four Defendants, answer the questions listed above for each additional Defendant on a separate page.

C. PREVIOUS LAWSUITS

1. Have you filed any other lawsuits while you were a prisoner? Yes No
2. If yes, how many lawsuits have you filed? 3. Describe the previous lawsuits:

a. First prior lawsuit:

1. Parties: MR. MAURICE NILES, SR. v. DANIEL GARLAND et al.
2. Court and case number: CV-01881(MCF)(CKD)
3. Result: (Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed? Is it still pending?) THIS CASE IS IN "SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE" PROCEEDINGS AT THIS TIME

b. Second prior lawsuit:

1. Parties: MR. MAURICE NILES, SR. v. THE BID BOND AND PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2. Court and case number: CV13-3428(DPA)(AS)
3. Result: (Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed? Is it still pending?) THE COURT ARE AVOIDING; ESAPED. ARE NOT ADDRESSING PETITIONER CONSTITUTIONAL CLAIMS... RACKETEER FRAUD. CONSPIRACY. PLOT

c. Third prior lawsuit:

1. Parties: MR. MAURICE NILES, SR. v. THE BID BOND AND PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2. Court and case number: CV14-1063(DPA)(AS)
3. Result: (Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed? Is it still pending?) THE COURT ARE AVOIDING; ESAPED. ARE NOT ADDRESSING PETITIONER CONSTITUTIONAL CLAIMS... RACKETEER FRAUD. CONSPIRACY. PLOT

If you filed more than three lawsuits, answer the questions listed above for each additional lawsuit on a separate page.

IN MY BUSINESS DEALINGS

D. CAUSE OF ACTION

CLAIM I

1. State the constitutional or other federal civil right that was violated:

Amendment VI VIOLATED.

151

4ST 8TH AND 14TH

2. Claim I. Identify the issue involved. Check **only one**. State additional issues in separate claims.

- | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Basic necessities | <input type="checkbox"/> Mail | <input type="checkbox"/> Access to the court | <input type="checkbox"/> Medical care |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Disciplinary proceedings | <input type="checkbox"/> Property | <input type="checkbox"/> Exercise of religion | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Retaliation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Excessive force by an officer | <input type="checkbox"/> Threat to safety | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: <u>RACKETEER FRAUD CONSPIRACY PLOT</u> | |

3. Supporting Facts. State as briefly as possible the FACTS supporting Claim I. Describe exactly what **each Defendant** did or did not do that violated your rights. State the facts clearly in your own words without citing legal authority or arguments.

SCHYBERT ANNENKIE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT ATTORNEY IS A MOVING FORCE THROUGH ENFORCEMENT BEHIND THE CUSTOMS AND POLICIES ENGAGED IN AN AFFIRMATIVE ACT, AND PARTICIPATED IN OTHER DEFENDANT'S AFFIRMATIVE ACTS TREACHEROUSLY DISREGARDING WELL ESTABLISHED LAW, KNOWING OF THE VIOLATIONS, AND FAILING TO ACT TO PREVENT THEM; FAILING TO TRAIN EMPLOYEES TO RECOGNIZE A REASONABLE, PERSECEVABLE RISK OF HARM/DEATH, AND TOO PREVENT THE POLICIES AND CUSTOMS THAT ADVERSELY INFRINGE UPON THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF INMATES. THIS DISTRICT ATTORNEY ILLEGITIMATELY INDICTED ME OR KNOWINGLY "FABRICATED AND TRUMPED UP FALSE ACCUSATION" "CREATED BY CRIMINAL CORRECTIONAL COPS AT NEW FOLSOM STATE PRISON" WHO ARE UNDER EXTREME INVESTIGATION BY THE F.B.I.. THIS PRINCIPAL DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND THE SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT HAS CONSPIRED TOGETHER TO "KIDNAPP ME AND HOLD ME HOSTAGE" SINCE "OCTOBER 24, 2016" ON A "2 MILLION DOLLAR BAIL BOND" "RANSOM;" AS HE, I WAS THE VICTIM OF A BRUTAL ATTACK BY SD CR CORRECTIONAL COPS AND SENT TO UC DAVIS HOSPITAL WITH SERIOUS INJURIES. THIS PRINCIPAL DISTRICT ATTORNEY ALLOWED HER DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY AMANDA SANCHEZ TO INTENTIONALLY VIOLATE MY 8TH AND 14TH AMENDMENT TO BE FREE FROM CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT; AND MY DUE PROCESS RIGHTS... INTENTIONALLY VIOLATED... (42 USC 1983)

4. Injury. State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s).

INJURY'S SUFFERED ARE BUT NOT LIMITED TO PSYCHOLOGICAL STRESS; DEPRESSION, EMOTIONAL DISTRESS IN THE FORM OF A CONSTANT, FEAR OF CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT; ANXIETY, PARANOIA.

5. Administrative Remedies:

- Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative appeals) available at your institution? Yes No
- Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Claim I? Yes No
- Did you appeal your request for relief on Claim I to the highest level? Yes No
- If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain why you did not. THESE MALICIOUS, INVICTIOUS STATE GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS ACTIONS NEED TO BE ADDRESSED TO THE HIGHEST AUTHORITY...

"Plaintiff IS INNOCENT!!".
Case 2:21-cv-00989-KJM-AC Document 1 Filed 06/03/21 Page 5 of 19
Aminda Sanchez is guilty of never conspired
PARTY PARTIES IS THESE ORCHESTRATED EVERY TO
SALVAGE MY LIBERTY, INTEREST AND CONSTITUTIONAL
RIGHTS... SEE LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT # BA385119-01...
DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL # (CV13-3428) (CV14-1063) (DDKAAS)
"COMMERCIAL DISHONOR/ DEFAULT JUDGEMENT..."

1 FIRST TO BE ENTITLED TO THE PRESUMPTION OF TRUTH,
2 ALLEGATIONS IN A COMPLAINT OR COUNTERCLAIM MAY NOT SIMPLY
3 RESTATE THE ELEMENTS OF A CAUSE OF ACTION, BUT MUST
4 CONTAIN SUFFICIENT ALLEGATIONS TO ENABLE THE OPPONING PARTY
5 TO DEFEND ITSELF EFFECTIVELY; SECOND THE Factual Allegations
6 THAT ARE TAKEN AS TRUE MUST PLausibly SUGGEST PART
7 ENTITLED TO RELIEF, SUCH THAT IT IS NOT UNFAIR TO
8 REQUIRE THE OPPONING PARTY TO BE SUBJECT TO THE EXPENSE
9 OF DISCOVERY AND CONTINUED LITIGATION SEE STARR V. BACA,
10 652 F. 3d 1202, 2116 (9TH CIR 2011)

11 IN MONEIL V. NEW YORK CITY DEPT. OF SOC. SERV., 436 U.S.
12 658, 691, 98, 561 F.2d 611 (U.S. 1978);
13 CONGRESS INCLUDE CUSTOMS AND USAGE [IN 1983]...
14 BECAUSE OF THE PERSISTENT AND WIDESPREAD DISCRIMINATORY
15 PRACTICES OF "STATE OFFICIALS" ... ALTHOUGH NOT AUTHORIZED BY
16 WRITTEN LAW, SUCH PRACTICES OF STATE OFFICIALS COULD WELL
17 BE SO PERMANENT AND WELL SETTLED AS TO CONSTITUTE A
18 CUSTOM OR USAGE WITH THE FORCE OF LAW." (EMPHASIS
19 IN ORIGINAL)...

20 IN PALMER V. MARION COUNTY, 327 F.3d 588 (7TH CIR 2003),
21 THE 7TH CIRCUIT HAD ACKNOWLEDGED THAT MONEIL'S UNCONSTITUTIONAL
22 POLICIES OR CUSTOMS COULD WELL TAKE THREE FORMS:
23 (1). AN EXPRESS POLICY THAT, WHEN ENFORCED, CAUSES A
24 CONSTITUTIONAL DEPRIVATION, (2). A WIDESPREAD PRACTICE
25 THAT, ALTHOUGH NOT AUTHORIZED BY WRITTEN LAW OR
EXPRESS MUNICIPAL POLICY, IS SO PERMANENT AND
WELL SETTLED AS TO CONSTITUTE A CUSTOM OR USAGE WITH THE
FORCE OF LAW, OR (3). AN ALLEGATION THAT THE CONSTITUTIONAL
INJURY WAS CAUSED BY A PERSON WITH THE FINAL POLICY
MAKING AUTHORITY "(I.D. AT P. 595)"

26 IN PEARSON V. CALLAHAN 124 S. CT 508 (U.S. 2009)
27 DOCTRINE OF (QUALIFIED IMMUNITY) PROTECT GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS
28 FROM LIABILITY FOR CIVIL DAMAGES INsofar AS THEIR CONDUCT
DOES NOT VIOLATE CLEARLY ESTABLISHED, STATUTORY OR
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF WHICH A REASONABLE PERSON
WOULD HAVE KNOWN... (ALSO SEE ELDER V. HELLOH DAY, 114 S.Ct 1019
1023 (1994))... ALSO SEE UNITED STATES V. MEMPHIS COTTON OIL CO., 288 U.S.
62, 67, 68, 53, S. Ct 278, 77 L. ED. 619... OLIVER V. PERKINS AND TRAVELER INS.
CO. V. CHIAFFOIO STEEVE DURIG CO, SUPRA... RIPPINGER V. A.C. MILLS CO.
D.C. S.D.N.Y., 37 F. SUPP. 369...

("3-A")

2 SAC Principal District Attorney SCHUBERT
3 ANNE MARIE, HER SUBORDINATE DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
4 ANDRADA SANCHEZ, AND SAC SHERIFF DEPARTMENT; AND
5 SAC Public Defender HAS ALL CONSPIRED WITH
6 CDCR Administration AND THEIR CRIMINAL CORRECTIONAL
7 COPS TO INTENTIONALLY SABOTAGE MY LIBERTY;
8 INTEREST AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS; WHEN I WAS
9 TARGETED BY NEW FOLSOM STATE PRISON (ISU)
10 CRIMINAL CORRECTIONAL COP "DANIEL GARLAND" WHO
11 HAS BEEN LITERALLY KILLED FROM NEW FOLSOM
12 STATE PRISON FACILITY ACCORDING TO AN APRIL 20
13 2021 "MEMO" OBTAINED BY THE "SACRAMENTO BEE".
14 STATES OFFICER "DANIEL GARLAND", 42, OF FOLSOM, IS
15 NO LONGER ALLOWED AT CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON,
16 SACRAMENTO... "DANIEL GARLAND" WORKED IN THE
17 PRISON'S INVESTIGATIVE SERVICES UNIT... SEE
18 SACRAMENTO BEES NEWS PAPER(S) DATED (4-18-21), (4-26-21)
19 AND (4-30-21)
20 (5-1-21)...
21 On 5-17-2016 I was intentionally pursued
22 AFTER AND ATTACKED BY "DANIEL GARLAND" AND
23 HIS COLLEAGUES AND SENT TO UC DAVIS HOSPITAL
24 WITH SERIOUS INJURIES... "DANIEL GARLAND" AND HIS
25 COLLEAGUES "CREATED A FABRICATED REPORT" TO GET
26 ME CHARGED WITH BATTERING ALL THE COPS...
27 And THE ADMINISTRATION AND THEIR CRIMINAL
28 CORRECTIONAL COPS INVESTIGATOR'S INTENTIONALLY
"DESTROYED AND LOST" ALL "SURVEILLANCE VIDEO FOOTAGES"
THAT CLEARLY SHOW THE CORRECTIONAL COPS
"LIED" IN THEIR "REPORTS" TO JUSTIFY THEIR "CODESPRIKED"
BRUTAL ATTACK AGAINST MR. NILES... THE
DISTRICT ATTORNEY SCHUBERT, ANNE MARIE AND HER
SUBORDINATE'S DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY ANDRADA
SANCHEZ HELPED NEW FOLSOM STATE PRISON
ADMINISTRATION SUPERIOR AND THEIR CRIMINAL
CORRECTIONAL COPS DESTROY, LOSE, SUPPRESSED
("3-B")

1 EXONERATORY evidence that would have
2 EXONERATED NIV NILES, SR. FROM THIS ILLEGAL
3 4TH AMENDMENT VIOLATION... 5-17-2016 I WAS
4 PEACEABLY HEADING BACK TO MY HOUSING
5 BUILDING FROM HANDLING IMPORTANT LEGAL
6 BUSINESS IN THE LIBRARY REGARDING
7 MY CASE IN PRISONMENT CONVICTION APPEAL.
8 AND NY CIVIL LAW SUIT CASE# (CV13-3428)
9 (CV14-1063) FRONT LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
10 CASE# BA385119-01... UNLAWFUL SHOOTING BY
11 LIA P.D. GOVERNMENT COVER UP = MALIGNOUS
12 PROSECUTION -- DOUBLE JEOPARDY -- BLACKMAIL
13 FRAUD... COMMERCIAL DISHONOR / LA SUPERIOR COURT...
14 NOW NEW CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON OFFICIALS
15 WIDESPREAD CRIMINAL PRACTICES IS FINALLY
16 BEING "EXPOSED TO THE PUBLIC" IN SACRAMENTO BEE
17 NEWS PAPER(S) DATED (4-18-21) (4-26-21) (4-30-21)
18 (5-1-21)
19 WHERE CORRECTIONAL CRIMINAL COUP
20 "DANIEL GARLAND" IS MENTIONED THROUGHOUT
21 THE ABOVE "SACRAMENTO BEE NEWS PAPER(S)".
22 "DANIEL GARLAND" IS THE REASON IN SITTING IN THIS
23 SHERIFF TAIL SINCE OCTOBER 24, 2016 ETQ...
24 A "PITCHES'S NOTION" WAS FILED ON "DANIEL
25 GARLAND" AND HIS COLLEAGUES IN 2019... THE
26 "PITCHES'S NOTION" HAD "EXPOSED" MALIGNOUS
27 ACTIVITY IN THE CORRECTIONAL COUP'S BACK
28 GROUND... PUBLIC PREDATOR "ETTEV JEREMIAH"
29 WHO ~~INTELLIGENCE~~ SUBPRIVED THE PITCHES'S
30 NOTION, FULL INVESTIGATION PROCEEDINGS... AFTER
31 ETTEV JEREMIAH BROUGHT TO MY ATTENTION THAT
32 HE WOULD HAVE TO INTERVIEW OVER 50 SOMETHING
33 WITNESSES THAT HAD ~~SOMETHING~~ TO SAY AGAINST
34 THE CORRECTIONAL COUP... AFTER THIS VERY VITAL
35 VITAL INFORMATION WAS BROUGHT TO LIGHT IS WHEN
36 ("3-C") ~~THE~~

SEE BEGEROW (1961) 123 CAL 349 355 [65 P. 828]
[THE] STATE OR ITS "OFFICERS" WE "MUST OBSERVE" includes
THEIR AND THEIR ATTORNEYS, THE JUDGES, AND THE COUNSELORS AND
THOSE WITHIN, THE "JUDGES" "ASSIGN" TO "REPRESENT"
indigent defendant...

Case 2:21-cv-00989-KJM-AO Document 1 Filed 06/03/21 Page 8 of 19

1 THIS SO-CALLED "Public defender ETTER JEREMIAH" in 2019
2 MAJICIOUSLY filed and/or CREATED some
3 DOCUMENT TO THE COURT JUDGE claiming THAT I AM
4 INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL... THIS MULCIOUS
5 SCHEME BY "ETTER JEREMIAH" TO "SABOTAGE" THE
6 "EXPOSURE OF "DANIEL GARLAND" AND HIS COLLEAGUES
7 "BICK GROUND; NOT ONLY DISMISSED THE COMPLETION
8 OF THE "PITCHES motion" INVESTIGATION, AND TRIAL
9 "PROCEEDINGS" BUT ALSO ME "KIDNAPPED" AND SENT TO A
10 MENTAL STATE HOSPITAL CALLED MASCADERO, WHERE
I WAS FORCED TO TAKE PSYCHOTROPIC DRUGS...
11 AND IF I REFUSED THEY WAS GOING TO RESTRAIN
12 ME, AND "STICK NEEDLES INSIDE ME" ... I FELT
13 LIKE A WALKING ZOMBIE FOR 8 MONTHS...
14 BEHIND AN UNJUSTIFIED ILLEGAL 1368. TO UTILIZE THE
15 ILLEGAL STRATEGY OF CDCR CORRECTIONAL COPS
16 FALSIFIED STATE RECORD'S INCIDENT REPORT OF
17 EXCESSIVE FORCE OF BRUTALITY AGAINST MR. NILES,
18 TO JUSTIFY THE 1368 TO KEEP MR. NILES, SR. IN
19 THIS CORRUPTED SYSTEM LONGER OF FALSE
20 INCARCERATION... INN INNOCENT...
21 PRETENDER OFFICE HAS ALL CONSPIRED AGAINST
22 MR. NILES, SR. TO SABOTAGE HIS LIBERTY;
23 INTEREST AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS...
24 SEE ("Kentucky v. Graham" 105 S.Ct 3099 3105 (1985)...
25 Johnson v. Duffy, 588 F.2d 740, 743 9th Cir (1978)...
26 Jenk v. City of Chicago, 856 F.2d 985, 992 (7th Cir 1988)
27 "Knowing what's going on..."
28 [42 USC § 1986]
("3-D")

CLAIM II

1. State the constitutional or other federal civil right that was violated: 1st 4th 8th and 14th Amendment violated.

2. Claim II. Identify the issue involved. Check **only one**. State additional issues in separate claims.

- | | | | |
|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Basic necessities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mail | <input type="checkbox"/> Access to the court | <input type="checkbox"/> Medical care |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Disciplinary proceedings | <input type="checkbox"/> Property | <input type="checkbox"/> Exercise of religion | <input type="checkbox"/> Retaliation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Excessive force by an officer | <input type="checkbox"/> Threat to safety | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: <u>KICKETING FRAUD/conspiracy plot...</u> | |

3. Supporting Facts. State as briefly as possible the FACTS supporting Claim II. Describe exactly what each Defendant did or did not do that violated your rights. State the facts clearly in your own words without citing legal authority or arguments.

FACILITY COMMANDER (LUKE) of SAC SHERIFF DEPARTMENT JAIL IS A "SENIOR SUPERVISOR" WHO ENFORCES THE CUSTOM AND POLICY, AND AS A SUPERVISOR OF THE NEXT LOWEST RANKING DEFENDANT^s MENTIONED HERE IN THIS COMPLAINT FAILED TO ADEQUATELY TRAIN, AND [SUPERVISE] HIS SUBORDINATES, WHEN HE ENGAGED IN AN AFFIRMATIVE ACT AND PARTICIPATED IN THE OTHER DEFENDANT^s AFFIRMATIVE ACT^s, TRECKLESSLY DISREGARDING WELL ESTABLISHED LAW, KNOWING OF THE VIOLATION^s AND FAILING TO ACT TO PREVENT THEM, FAILING TO TRAIN EMPLOYEE^s, TO RECOGNIZE A REASONABLE, FORESEEABLE RISK OF HARM/DEATH, AND TO PREVENT THE POLICY^s AND CUSTOM^s THAT ADVERSELY INFRINGE UPON THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF INMATE^s.
On 3-3-2021 I RECEIVED LEGAL CONFIDENTIAL MAIL FROM THE "STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA" REGARDING FRAUD PUBLIC DEFENDER^s INCOMPETENT AND INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL^s ETEN, TERNANAH AND ALEXANDER C. ASTERLIN FRAUD PERFORMANCE... THE LEGAL CONFIDENTIAL MAIL I RECEIVED FROM THE "STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA" (WHICH BEEN AROUND SINCE JULY 29TH 1927 AND HAS THEIR OWN "SEAL" ON THEIR BUSINESS ENVELOPE) WAS SLID UNDER MY CELL DOOR WITHOUT NOTICE, AND "OPENED", EXPOSING THE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INSIDE... KNOWING THE RELATIONSHIP INTEREST WITH THE SHERIFF DEPARTMENT AND DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE THIS ACTION VIOLATES MY 1ST 4TH 8TH AND 14TH AMENDMENT^s.

4. Injury. State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s). (SEE 4-A)

INJURY^s SUFFERED ARE BUT NOT LIMITED TO [PSYCHOLOGICAL STRESS, DEPRESSION; EMOTIONAL DISTRESS IN THE FORM OF A CONSTANT FEAR OF CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT; ANXIETY, PARANOIA.

5. Administrative Remedies.

- Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative appeals) available at your institution? Yes No
- Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Claim II? Yes No
- Did you appeal your request for relief on Claim II to the highest level? Yes No
- If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain why you did not. _____

I did not sign for this confidential legal mail like I usually do... I TOOK THE PROPER STEPS TO ADDRESS THIS ILLEGAL SEARCH AND SEIZURE OF MY LEGAL CONFIDENTIAL MAIL FROM THE "STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA" WHICH IS A CONFIDENTIAL CORRESPONDENCE ENTITY. I IMMEDIATELY NOTIFIED THE MAIL ROOM SUPERVISOR NAMED NIC CLARY #731, AND FILED A CITIZEN COMPLAINT... THE MAIL ROOM SUPERVISOR RESPONSE WAS INCOMPETENT AND CONTRADICTORY AS WELL AS HIS SUPERIOR'S SET MAXWELL #185 LT. NOBLE #26 AND LT. S. FISHER, -- THEIR SUPERIOR FACILITY COMMANDER LUKE... IS ALSO GUILTY OF THIS "SCHEME". IN SLAKAN V. PORTER 737 F. 2d 368, 373 (C.A.4 (N.C.) 1984). RECOGNITION THAT SUPERVISOR'S INDIFFERENCE OR TACIT AUTHORIZATION OF SUBORDINATE'S MISCONDUCT MAY BE CAUSATIVE FACTOR IN THE CONSTITUTIONAL INJURIES... A SUPERVISOR'S CONTINUED INACTION IN THE FACE OF DOCUMENTED WIDESPREAD ABUSES, HOWEVER, PROVIDES AN INDEPENDENT BASIC FOR FINDING HE EITHER WAS DELIBERATELY INDIFFERENT OR ACQUIECHED IN THE CONSTITUTIONALLY OFFENSIVE CONDUCT OF HIS SUBORDINATES. ... UNITED STATE CONSTITUTION 4TH AMENDMENT [ESTATE] IT'S THE RIGHT OF THE PEOPLE TO BE SECURE IN THEIR PERSONS, HOUSES, PAPERS AND EFFECTS AGAINST UNREASONABLE SEARCHES AND SEIZURES SHALL NOT BE VIOLATED) THIS PLAINTIFF ALLEGES AND SHOW THAT HIS PROPERTY TOWIT SENSITIVE ATTORNEY CLIENT LEGAL DOCUMENTS WERE UNLAWFULLY SEIZED WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW AND BY ARBITRARY GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL'S ACTION HERE AT SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT FACILITY. UNITED STATE CONSTITUTION 8TH AMENDMENT, THIS, AS AN ESSENTIAL PREREQUISITE THE RIGHT TO PUNISH, IT WAS HELD IN DESHANEY V. WILLIAMS 489 U.S. 189 199 n.b. 5ct 998 103 L.Ed. 2d) THE STATE DOES NOT ACQUIRE THE POWER TO PUNISH WITH WHICH THE EIGHT AMENDMENT IS CONCERNED UNTIL AFTER IT HAS SECURED A FORMAL... THIS PETITIONER ALLEGES AND CAN SHOW THAT HIS PROPERTY TOWIT SENSITIVE ATTORNEY CLIENT LEGAL DOCUMENTS WAS UNLAWFULLY SEIZED WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW WHEN SACRAMENTO SHERIFF DEPARTMENT IMPOSED AN ILLEGAL SEIZURE OF PETITIONER LEGAL CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS REGARDING THE FABRICATED TRUMPED UP CHARGES AND INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL... KIDNAPPED AND HELD HOSTAGE SINCE OCTOBER 24, 2016... GRIEVANCES ATTACHED ALONE WITH MAIL ROOM SUPERVISOR NIC CLARY'S RESPONSE... #731



Attention
SACRAMENTO COUNTY
SHERIFF'S OFFICE

CORRECTIONAL SERVICES GRIEVANCE FORM

Med A Response in a timely fashion

This Box for Officer Use Only

DATE: 3/11/21
 GRIEVANCE #: 2021-1279
 ASSIGNED TO: BIN
 ADA RELATED: NO

NAME (PRINT LAST FIRST MIDDLE)

DATE OF BIRTH

XREF NUMBER

DATE

MR. Maurice Niles, SR.1/1/8050733833-11-21

INMATE SIGNATURE

INMATE LOCATION

DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT

Mr. Niles, 801 Title PostS-W 7023-4-21

ONLY ONE GRIEVANCE ISSUE PER FORM for appropriate routing. Explain your grievance in the provided box only (include dates, times, and names of persons involved). You only have 5 days from the incident to submit a grievance. PREA incidents have no time limit and can be reported ANYTIME. If this grievance is disability related check this box:

I am writing this "Emergency citizen copy" in regard to this SICKNESS&DEATH issue. It appears if temp[oraries] of the Refuse to respect or recognize the "SEAL" of the U.S. BAR of California is a confidential correspondence which does not contain sensitive attorney-client legal information. These court sheriff department employees are known to be reckless and irresponsible visiting my room and with no warrant justifiably constitutional Right a man may open and examine a envelope from the state bar (which is on front of the envelope) that contain sensitive, personal, legal information.

This CARELESS, RECKLESS, UNRESPECTFUL, IRRESPONSIBLE tactic to infringe ~~the amendment rights~~ is the reason why there are so many mass murder in this world, suicide bombings, this is with ordinary people are forced to take the law in their own hand... CORPORATIONS, facilities and their "CREATED LAW" that are misleading, contradictory, inconsistent, conflicting, incompatible, paradoxical, violates, make no sense... Not one can duplicate THE U.S. SUPREME COURT in put the CLERK on the envelope... CLERK is not a NAME, it's a "REFERENCE"... THE MAIL ROOM SUPervisor MEETZER #73 says there was no one "agent" name on the "STATE BAR" envelope, which is with this Sheriff department is allowed to illegally open your confidential legal mail... But unlike the courts, THE STATE BAR of California provide their "SEAL" on the front of their business envelope. A WARRANT BASED ON A FALSE OR misleading information is invalid. This is v. Gates (1983) 962 U.S. 213. People v. Kurland (1980) 28 Cal. 3rd 316, 391.

This Section for Staff Use Only

RECEIVING INDIVIDUAL (PRINT NAME)

BADGE NO.

DATE/TIME

HOLMAN1203/11/21 1430

Check one:

 RESOLVED at the Staff Level FORWARD to Supervisor level

Response:

SEE ATTACHED

RESPONDER (PRINT NAME)

BADGE NO.

DATE

SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE

BADGE NO.

DATE

Supervisor check one:

Corrective Action Taken Denied Not Grievable Resolved WATCH COMMANDER N/A

BADGE NO.

DATE

ASSISTANT COMMANDER

BADGE NO.

DATE

L.T. NOBLE269/29/21DISTRIBUTION:
WHITE - DIVISION COPY
PINK - INMATE

8W202

CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
MESSAGE REQUEST

DATE 1-15-21

TO Compliance officials			<input type="checkbox"/> FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER	<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC DEFENDER	<input type="checkbox"/> PROBATION
<input type="checkbox"/> U.S. MARSHAL	<input type="checkbox"/> SOCIAL WORKER	<input type="checkbox"/> ICE	<input type="checkbox"/> JAILADMIN. RECORDS	<input type="checkbox"/> CHAPLAIN	<input type="checkbox"/> RCCC
RECEIVED BY OFFICER:		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> COMPLIANCE	<input type="checkbox"/> ADA RELATED	<input type="checkbox"/> RE-ENTRY	<input type="checkbox"/> MAIN JAIL

MESSAGE:

Compliance you are not legal mail and or
regular mail being illegally seized by this
Sacramento County jail officials without notice
or search warrant so you are violating
my 4th Amendment Institutional Rights.

FROM NAME: MR NATHAN RICE HESSER X-REF NO.

THE POET

5073383

LOCATION:

8W202

REPLY this mail is subject to examination.

BY:

McClary731

DATE:

1/19/21

~~BN 202~~

SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

Case 2:21-cv-00989-KJM-AC Document 1 Filed 06/03/21 Page 13 of 19

~~202~~

ATTENTION:

CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
MESSAGE REQUEST

DATE SENT

PLEASE RESPOND IN A TIMELY FASHION

DATE

3-4-21

TO MAIL ROOM SUPERVISORS

<input type="checkbox"/> FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER	<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC DEFENDER	<input type="checkbox"/> PROBATION
---	---	------------------------------------

<input type="checkbox"/> U.S. MARSHAL	<input type="checkbox"/> SOCIAL WORKER	<input type="checkbox"/> ICE	<input type="checkbox"/> JAILADMIN. RECORDS	<input type="checkbox"/> CHAPLAIN	<input type="checkbox"/> RCCC
--	---	------------------------------	--	-----------------------------------	-------------------------------

RECEIVED BY OFFICER:	<input type="checkbox"/> COMPLIANCE	<input type="checkbox"/> ADA RELATED	<input type="checkbox"/> RE-ENTRY	<input type="checkbox"/> MAIN JAIL
----------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	------------------------------------

MESSAGE: ON 3-3-21 I RECEIVED LEGAL MAIL FROM THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA REGARDING ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE AND FOR CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION. THE LEGAL MAIL I RECEIVED FROM THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA WAS SLID UNDER MY DOOR AS REGULAR MAIL AND THE ENVELOPE WAS OPEN EXPOSING THE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INSIDE THAT CAN BE PASSED ON TO THE SACRAMENTO DISTRICT ATTORNEY BY SACRAMENTO SHERIFF DEPUTY WHO OPENED AND REVIEWED THE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION... THIS CARELESS BEHAVIOR VIOLATES AND INFRINGES UPON CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. EXPLAIN?

FROM NAME: MR. MAURICE NILES, SR.
THE POET

X-REF NO. 5073383

LOCATION: 8A EFT 202

REPLY: Page 23 of the Inmate Handbook says "members of the State bar" not THE office of the State Bar. "THIS STATEMENT DEFIES COMMON SENSE"

BY: McLain 731	DATE: 3/8/21
----------------	--------------

8W-202 SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
Case 2:21-cv-00989-KJM-AC Document 1 Filed 06/03/21 Page 14 of 19

CORRECTIONAL SERVICES
MESSAGE REQUEST

DATE SENT

DATE 3-9-21

TO MAIL ROOM SUPERVISOR

<input type="checkbox"/> U.S. MARSHAL	<input type="checkbox"/> SOCIAL WORKER	<input type="checkbox"/> ICE	<input type="checkbox"/> FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER	<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC DEFENDER	<input type="checkbox"/> PROBATION
RECEIVED BY OFFICER:		<input type="checkbox"/> COMPLIANCE	<input type="checkbox"/> JAILADMIN. RECORDS	<input type="checkbox"/> CHAPLAIN	<input type="checkbox"/> RCCC
			<input type="checkbox"/> ADA RELATED	<input type="checkbox"/> RE-ENTRY	<input type="checkbox"/> MAIN JAIL

MESSAGE: WHAT SENSE ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT ME CLANG AT 731 OF PAGE 23 OF THE BOOK? THIS IS CLEARLY A "RIGHTS OF THE STATE PAR" RESPONDING TO MY CONFIDENTIAL MAIL. WHAT KIND OF "RAVICH LANGUAGE" ARE YOU SPEAKING TO JUSTIFY VIOLATING MY 4TH AMENDMENT CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS? AND WHERE DOES IT SAY'S MEMBER'S OF THE OF FREE ARE NOT CONFIDENTIAL CORRESPONDENCE? THE STATE PAR IS NOT A LAW FIRM OR ATTORNEY'S THEY ARE AN ENTITY WHO DEALS WITH COMPLAINTS AGAINST ATTORNEY'S MEMBER'S ETC SINCE 1927 - JULY 29th.

FROM NAME: MR. MARCIE NILE, SR.
THE POET

X-REF NO. 5013383

LOCATION: 8W-202

REPLY There was no name on the envelope,
"U.H.T"

"Unbelievable"

BY: McClany 731 DATE: 3/10/21



SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

CORRECTIONAL SERVICES GRIEVANCE REPLY FORM

GRIEVANCE #: 2021-1279

PRIMARY INMATE NAME (PRINT LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE) Miles, Maurice	XREF NUMBER 5073383	LOCATION 8W202	DATE 04/22/2021
---	------------------------	-------------------	--------------------

STATEMENT OF PROBLEM: Inmate Miles stated in his grievance his mail from The State Bar of California was not treated as legal mail and should not have been opened by a deputy.

INVESTIGATION: I reviewed the Correctional Services Inmate Handbook, Operations Order 6/15 Inmate Correspondence, and The State Bar of California's website.

FINDINGS: The Operations Order as well as the Correctional Services Inmate Handbook stated the following, Inmates may correspond, [confidentially], with State and Federal courts, [members of the State Bar] and other licensed attorneys or legal representatives, holders of public office (including elected local, state and federal officials), the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (including U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement and its various subdivisions), the U.S. Department of Justice, embassies and consulates, health care professionals, judges, the Corrections Standards Authority.

The following is from The State Bar of California's website: The State Bar of California is the regulatory arm of the California Supreme Court responsible for licensing and disciplining attorneys.

Based on the aforementioned Operations Order, Inmate Handbook, and The State Bar of California's website, correspondence directly from The State Bar of California does not constitute legal mail.

It should be noted, any legal mail sent to an inmate at the Sacramento County Main Jail will be opened in front of the recipient, so the mail can be inspected for contraband, but not read by deputy opening the mail.

Petitioner legal documents from the members of THE STATE BAR are not opened in front of THE RECIPIENT... It is handwritten, slid under my cell door... without notice... without me signing for this document like it works with do... -- These "Sheriff employees" at this jail can illegally seize attorney-client confidential information? The inference is that if you share with THE STATE BAR IS REGARDING ANY CRIMINAL CHARGE, "Ineffective Counsel" etc...

Check one: / Corrective Action Taken <input type="checkbox"/>		Denied		Not Grievable		Resolved <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
PRINT RESPONDER'S NAME		BADGE #		DATE		If RESOLVED at the lowest level RESPONDER'S SIGNATURE		
PRINT SUPERVISOR'S NAME		BADGE #		DATE		If RESOLVED at the Supervisor's level SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE. (If same as responder, skip)		
WATCH COMMANDER N/A <input type="checkbox"/>		BADGE #		DATE		ASSISTANT COMMANDER	BADGE #	DATE
LT. NOBLE		26		4/29/21		LT. S. FISHER	#105	5/6/21

151

CLAIM III

1. State the constitutional or other federal civil right that was violated: 4ST 5TH 6TH 8TH
And 14TH AMENDMENT VIOLATED...

2. **Claim III.** Identify the issue involved. Check **only one**. State additional issues in separate claims.

- | | | | |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Basic necessities | <input type="checkbox"/> Mail | <input type="checkbox"/> Access to the court | <input type="checkbox"/> Medical care |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Disciplinary proceedings | <input type="checkbox"/> Property | <input type="checkbox"/> Exercise of religion | <input type="checkbox"/> Retaliation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Excessive force by an officer | <input type="checkbox"/> Threat to safety | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: <u>KICKETIR FRAUD/conspiracy</u>
<u>PUBLIC PRETENDER'S PLOT</u> | |

3. **Supporting Facts.** State as briefly as possible the FACTS supporting Claim III. Describe exactly what each Defendant did or did not do that violated your rights. State the facts clearly in your own words without citing legal authority or arguments. IN RE: BEGERON (1901) 133 CAL. 349, 355 [65 P. 828...]

ETTEV JEREMIAH, EUGENE P. RUEDEK PhD AND ALEXANDER CHRISTIAN ASTERLIN
ARE ALL CONSPIRING WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY AND SACRAMENTO SHERIFF
DEPARTMENT TO KEEP PLAINTIFF IN THIS CORRUPT SYSTEM LONGER ILLEGALLY... ETTEV
JEREMIAH AND EUGENE P. RUEDEK IN 2019 ILLEGALLY GOT ME "KIDNAPPED" AND SENT TO A
"MENTAL STATE HOSPITAL" CALLED "ATASCADERO" WHERE I WAS "FORCED" TO TAKE "PSYCHOTROPIC"
"MEDICATION" THAT WAS CAUSING ME TO "LOSE MY MEMORY"... ETTEV JEREMIAH WAS "FRAUDULENT"
TO THE CASE IN 2019... I ONLY TALKED TO HIM TWO OR THREE TIMES; TO ENLIGHTEN HIM IN MY
ENTIRE ORDER; MY FALSE IMPRISONMENT..." I HAD TO LITERALLY DEMAND HIM TO FILE A
PITCHES NATION ON THE "CORRECTIONAL OFFICER" AT NEW FOLSOM STATE PRISON", WHICH HE DID NOT
REALLY WANT TO FILE... FOR SURE IT'S ADDRESSED..." A PITCHES NATION WAS EVENTUALLY FILED. THE
"ASIAN" JUDGE GRANTED THE MOTION... IN 2019... THINGS WERE LEVIED IN THEIR BACKGROUND;
ETTEV JEREMIAH SAID HE HAD TO INTERVIEW EVEN "50 SOMETHING WITNESSES" THAT HAD SOMETHING
TO SAY "AGAINST THE OFFICER"... AFTER THIS "DISCOVERY" IS WHEN "ETTEV JEREMIAH" CREATED
SOME "MALICIOUS DOCUMENTS" TO THE COURT SAYING I'M INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL, WHICH I HAD
TO SEE EUGENE P. RUEDEK PhD PSYCHIATRIST; THIS SCHEME BY THESE TWO DID NOT SUPPORT ANALYSIS
CHALLENGE UNDER EXERCISING IT'S DISCRETION PURSUANT TO THE CONSTITUTIONAL DOCTRINES TO APPLY
UNSUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE AGAINST ME OF AN ILLEGAL 1308 REPORT; SEE PEOPLE V. MCCOY (1992)
9 CAL. APP. 4TH. 1578 1585 [12 CAL.Rptr.2d 479]... (SEE 5-A)

4. **Injury.** State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s).

INJURIES SUFFERED ARE BUT NOT LIMITED TO [PSYCHOLOGICAL] "STRESS", DEPRESSION,
EMOTIONAL DISTRESS IN THE FORM OF A CONSPIRACY, FEAR OF CRUEL AND
UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT, ANXIETY, PARANOIA...

5. **Administrative Remedies.**

- a. Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative appeals) available at your institution? Yes No
- b. Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Claim III? Yes No
- c. Did you appeal your request for relief on Claim III to the highest level? Yes No
- d. If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain why you did not. ALSO SEE IN RE BEGERON (1901) 133 CAL 349, 355 [65 P. 828]

(THE) STATE OR ITS OFFICERS WE "MUST OBSERVE" INCLUDES NOT ONLY THE "PROSECUTORS", BUT
THE JUDICIARY AND THOSE WHOM THE JUDGE IS "ASSIGN" TO REPRESENT INNOCENT
DEFENDANTS...

If you assert more than three Claims, answer the questions listed above for each additional Claim on a separate page.

(5-A")

[maliciously]

1 ANOTHER FRAUD PUBLIC PRETENDER HAS BEEN [ASSIGNED]
 2 TO REPRESENT MY INNOCENCE NAME ALEXANDER CHRISTIAN ASTERLIN.
 3 WHO BEEN ASSIGNED SINCE DECEMBER 22nd 2020... THIS FRAUD

4 ATTORNEY HAS REFUSED TO LISTEN TO ALL MY ADVISE AND INSTRUCTIONS
 5 TO FILE A "DISCOVERY MOTION" TO "COMPEL THE D.A" TO TURN OVER ALL
 6 EVIDENCE HE OR SHE HAS IN THEIR POSSESSION... THE PROSECUTOR

7 IS OBLIGATED TO DISCLOSE SUCH EVIDENCE "VOLUNTARILY", WHETHER
 8 OR NOT THE DEFENDANT MAKES A REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY - THEIR
 9 BRADY OBLIGATION... 6 MONTHS HAVE GONE BY AN "NO DISCOVERY"
 X X X

10 FROM THE D.A HAVE BEEN PRODUCED... AND I HAVE VERBALLY
 11 ADVISED AND INSTRUCTED ALEXANDER CHRISTIAN ASTERLIN
 12 TO IMMEDIATELY FILE A DISCOVERY AROUND THE TIME HE

13 WAS ASSIGNED, "BUT IT NO AVAIL"... I HAVE EVEN ENLIGHTEN
 14 HIM ABOUT THE ON GOING INVESTIGATIONS FROM THE SACRAMENTO
 15 EE NEW'S PAPER DATED (4-18-2021) (4-20-2021) (4-30-2021)
 16 (5-1-2021)

17 REGARDING NEW FOLSOM STATE PRISON UNDER INVESTIGATION
 18 BY THE F.B.I. AND "DANIEL GARLAND" WHO IS THE
 19 REASON INSITTING IN THIS JAIL IN THE FIRST... PLACE...

20 ATTORNEY ALEXANDER CHRISTIAN ASTERLIN DO NOT TAKE
 21 THIS INFORMATION LIKELY, FOR SOME ODD REASON... I HAVE
 22 BEEN KIDNAPPED AND HELD HOSTAGE IN THIS SHERIFF JAIL

23 SINCE OCTOBER 24, 2016 = 6 YEARS... (28 USC § 1915(g)...
 24 (42 USC § 1980) ...

25 THIS PLAINTIFF ALLEGES AN ASSERTS THAT AS A DIRECT
 26 PROXIMATE AND LEGAL RESULT OF THE AFOREMENTIONED ACTS
 27 AND OMISSIONS COMMITTED IN BAD FAITH, BY DEFENDANT'S

28 AND EACH OF THEM PLAINTIFF HAS SUFFERED AND CONTINUES
 29 TO SUFFER ACTUAL AND IRREPARABLE INJURIES, BOTH
 30 PHYSICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL, THEREFORE PLAINTIFF IS
 31 ENTITLED TO GENERAL AND SPECIAL DAMAGES IN AN
 32 AMOUNT TO BE PROVEN AT TRIAL, THIS PLAINTIFF HAS NO
 33 PLAIN ADEQUATE OR COMPLETE REMEDY AT LAW TO REGRESS

34 THE WRONG DESCRIBED HEREIN, PLAINTIFF HAS BEEN AND
 35 CONTINUE TO BE IRREPARABLE INJURED BY THE CONDUCT OF
 36 THE DEFENDANT'S (STATE GOVERNMENT AGENTS) UNLESS

37 THE COURT GRANTS THE DECLARATORY RELIEF WHICH
 38 PLAINTIFF SEEK... [THE] STATE OR ITS "OFFICERS" WE MUST OBSERVE INCLUDES
 39 NOT ONLY THE "PROSECUTOR", BUT THE "JUDICIARY" AND THOSE WHOM
 40 THE "JUDGES" ASSIGN TO REPRESENT INDIGENT DEFENDANT'S...
 41 THIS "FRAUD ATTORNEY" HAVE NOT FILED MY MOTION THAT REPRESENT MY
 42 CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS ON THE RECORD AS I ADVISED AND INSTRUCTED
 43 HIM TO DO, BUT TO NO AVAIL, FOR SOME ODD REASON... FRAUD...
 44 X X X

("5-A")

1 It is abundantly clear that one reason
2 THE LEGISLATION WAS PASSED WAS TO AFFORD A
3 FEDERAL RIGHT IN FEDERAL COURTS BECAUSE, BY
4 REASONS OF PREJUDICE, PASSION, NEGLECT,
5 INTOLERANCE OR OTHERWISE, STATE LAWS MIGHT NOT
6 BE ENFORCED AND THE CLAIMS OF CITIZENS TO
7 THE ENJOYMENT OF RIGHTS, PRIVILEGES, AND IMMUNITIES
8 GUARANTEED BY THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT MIGHT
9 BE DENIED BY THE "STATE AGENCIES". 365 U.S. AT
10 180, 5 L.Ed. 2d 492, 81 S.Ct. 473, id. at 193, 5 L.Ed. 2d
11 492, 81 S.Ct. 473... *Nicholson v. Foster*, *supra* at
12 238-242, 32 L.Ed. 2d 705, 92 S.Ct. 2151...
13 *Cong. Globe*, 42d Cong., 1st Sess. 789 (1871)...
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

Askari African JV Empire Productions
THE POET

23 NV LAST 4 CUSIP AND AUTOTRIS NUMBER'S
24 ARE (3714)

25 CUSIP MEAN: COMMITTEE ON UNIFORM SECURITIES IDENTIFICATION PROCESSES . . .
26 AUTOTRIS MEAN: AUTOMATED TRACKING IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM . . .

27
28 ("5-B")

E. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

State the relief you are seeking:

(1) A DECLARATORY JUDGMENT THAT DEFENDANT'S VIOLATED PLAINTIFF'S ESTABLISHED, FEDERAL AND STATE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS - (2). ISSUE A PROTECTIVE ORDER, SAFEGUARDING PLAINTIFF LEGAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY AND NOT BE RETALIATED AGAINST, IN NO SHAPES FORM OR MANNER. (3). COMPENSATORY DAMAGES AGAINST DEFENDANT'S, AND EACH OF THEM, IN THEIR INDIVIDUAL OR IN THEIR INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITY IN THE AMOUNT OF 2.5 MILLION. (4). APPOINT PROFESSIONAL COUNSEL TO REPRESENT PLAINTIFF, IN ORDER TO PROTECT HIS RIGHT AND INTEREST. (5) PUNITIVE DAMAGES, AGAINST DEFENDANT'S, AND EACH OF THEM, IN THEIR INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITY, IN THE AMOUNT OF 3.5 MILLION. (6) TO GRANT FOR DEFENDANT'S TO PAY COST OF SUIT AND ATTY FEES. (7) TO GRANT ACTUAL DAMAGES. (8) TO GRANT MILITARY DAMAGES IN THE SUM AMOUNT OF 10 MILLION\$. (9) TO GRANT TO PROCEED IN ITP FOR SHOT OF GUN CAUSE FOR ET SEQ PROCEEDINGS (10) TO GRANT AN PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION TO FORWARD AN DUPLICATED COPY OF THE GOVERNMENT TRANSCRIPT TO PLAINTIFF FOR THE PROSECUTION. (11) TO GRANT FOR PLAINTIFF MAURICE NELSONS, SR. TO TAKE ALL IN THESE ILLEGAL ACTIONS. (12) TO GRANT ANY OTHER RELIEF THE COURT DEEMS PROPER. -(13) TO BE RELEASED FROM CUSTODY.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on 5-30-2021
DATE

Nan N. Nijssen, The Poet
SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF

(Name and title of paralegal, legal assistant, or other person who helped prepare this complaint)

(Signature of attorney, if any)

(Attorney's address & telephone number)

ADDITIONAL PAGES

All questions must be answered concisely in the proper space on the form. If you need more space you may attach more pages, but you are strongly encouraged to limit your complaint to twenty-five pages. If you attach additional pages, be sure to identify which section of the complaint is being continued and number all pages. Remember, there is no need to attach exhibits to your complaint.